

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/763,405	Applicant(s) OLECH, LEE	
	Examiner Jeffrey T. Barton	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) M. Henry Heines.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005

Time: 5 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Mr. Heines to suggest an amendment to place claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Heines authorized the amendment as given in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)